



Coronal Mass Ejections as Key Players in Sun-Earth Connection

Nat Gopalswamy NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD 20771

ISWI – Europe School on Space science, August 20-27, 2011 Tatranska Lomnica, Slovakia

High Energy Plasmas & Particles

Solar Wind

Plasma Ejected from the Sun (Coronal Mass Ejections – CMEs)

Energetic Particles



Animation of Halloween 2003 Events



... to illustrate their heliospheric impact

What is a CME?

CME can be defined as the outward moving material in the solar corona which is distinct from the solar wind

This image shows three main CMEs from The solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) mission's Large Angle and Spectrometric Coronagraph

Physical Properties Statistical properties morphology (eruption geometry) energetics in situ measurements Plage and Sunspots



CME in Old Eclipse Pictures: 1860 July 18



Fig. 4. Selected drawings of the corona (from Ranyard, 1879), made by different observers along the path of totality in Spain during the 1860 eclipse. Times are relative to mid-totality at Tempel's station at Torreblanca

Eddy, 1974 estimated the CME speed to be 200-500 km/s



Coronagraphs

INTERNALLY OCCULTED REFRACTING CORONAGRAPH (LYOT)



EXTERNALLY OCCULTED REFRACTING CORONAGRAPH (NEWKIRK)

extra occulting disk so direct photospheric light does not fall on the objective

Bernard Lyot (1939)

Moon is a natural occulting disk



Prominence, coronal cavity and overlying streamer: Look like a CME before taking off! Courtesy: HAO

Morphological Properties





Physical Properties

Three-part structure Illing & Hundhausen, 1986

Four-part structure when shock driving

Shock compression: up to four times the upstream temperature (SOHO/UVCS)

Flare plasma can reach ten of MK



CME Structures

- Flare (energized loops stuck to the Sun)
- Filament/prominence
- flux rope/cavity (observed as magnetic cloud)
- shock





Acceleration in LASCO C2/C3 FOV



• $a = a_p - a_g - a_d$

IP Acceleration



useful for predicting Sun-Earth travel time of CMEs based on initial speed

Gopalswamy et al., 2001



Acceleration from LASCO C1, EIT



 $h = 3.1 - 0.1t + 1.5x10^{-3}t^2 - 3.4x10^{-6}t^3$



Assume free energy ~ Potential field energy (McKay and Forbes 2000)

 $E = 10^{36} \text{ erg}$ $E_{33} = 1000$ $V_{max} = 5750$ For $n = 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ B = 26 G $V_A = V_{max}$

 $\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 \le B^2/8\pi \rightarrow V \le V_A \rightarrow a \le V_A/t_A = V_A^2/L$ (Vrsnak, 2008)

Alfven Speed in the Source Region



 $V_A = 1500 \text{ km/s}; \text{ L} \sim 175,000 \text{ km} \rightarrow a \le 13 \text{ kms}^{-2}$

CME speed max at Flare peak



CME speed profile similar to the Flare soft X-ray profile CME onset ~ Flare Onset

Initial Acceleration of CMEs



- STEREO/EUVI COR1
- 95 CMEs
- a_{max} : 0.02 to 6.8 kms⁻²
- Height at Vmax: 1.17 to 11 Rs

- Ultrafast CMEs
- CME accel = Flare accel
- *a*: 0.5 to 7.5 kms⁻²

CME Rate & Speed (Rotation Averaged)

#CMEs per year ~10³

Mass per CME $\sim 4x10^{14}$ g

Mass loss due to CMEs $\sim 4x10^{14}$ kg.yr⁻¹ = 2x10⁻¹⁶ Ms. yr⁻¹

Solar wind mass loss: $\sim 2x10^{-14}$ Ms. yr⁻¹

During solar maximum, CME mass loss up to 10% of solar wind flux



SOHO and Pre-SOHO CMEs

Coronagraph Epoch	OSO-7 1971	Skylab 1973-74	Solwind 1979-85	SMM 1980,84-89	LASCO 1996-2010
FOV(Ro)	2.5-10	1.5 - 6	3 - 10	1.6 -6	1.2-32
#CMEs	27	115	1607	1206	15874
Mean V (km/s)		470	460	350	450
Mean W (deg.)		42	43	47	38
Mass (10 ¹⁵ g)		6.2	4.1	3.3	0.4
Reference	1	2	3	4	5

1 Tousey (1973)

2 MacQueen et al 1974

3 Michels et al 1980

4 Brueckner et al., 1995; Gopalswamy 2010 (updated)

Halo CMEs



Faster and Wider CMEs are more Energetic



Halo CMEs are more energetic Fraction of halos is a measure of the energy of a CME population

An Eruption Region



Chromospheric Change



BBSO 10" Ha 2005-05-13 15:38:57

Filament eruption



Eruption Geometry Tells About Flux Rope axis





Fully North – No storm

Where does the energy come from?

Extrapolated field lines on TRACE coronal images



2005/05/13 14:56:00

Photospheric magnetogram with potential field extrapolation 2005/05/13 15:25:56

Actual coronal structure is "distorted" from potential field \rightarrow free energy (FE) 2005/05/13 21:26:36

Free energy went into the CME kinetic energy Arcade is potential

De Rosa & Schrijver



CMEs & Space Weather



CMEs & SEPs

Four-part Structure!

Shock Sheath in white light



White light CMEs were discovered in 1971 (Koomen, 1972; Brueckner, 1972, Tousey, 1973 But CME substructures were recognized for nearly a century!

Gopalswamy, 2010 Springer book



2010/06/13f_p = 150 MHz \rightarrow n_p = 2.8x10⁸ cm⁻³



CME starts at 5:34 at 1.13 Rs; Type II starts at 5:36 when the CME at 1.17 Rs; shock 1.19 Rs

CME-related Energetic Particles




Two Processes for Particle Acceleration

Flare Reconnection Shock

Shock: large SEP events CME a must

Flare: impulsive SEP events, Much smaller, high charge states CME may or may not accompany

The relative contribution from Flare & CME in a given event under debate



CMEs are Efficient Accelerators



Typically about 10% of CME kinetic energy goes into SEPs

Expect GLEs to be associated with faster CMEs

Mewaldt, 2006

SEP-Producing CMEs





Ozone depletion

CMEs and Geomagnetic Storms

- Direct impact of CME plasma on Earth's magnetosphere
- Causes ring current enhancement
- Acceleration of electrons inside the magnetosphere
- Sudden commencement and exposure of geosync satellites to the interplanetary space

magnetic reconnection: A key physical process

Reconnection leads to particle acceleration at the Sun \rightarrow Flares

Reconnection between interplanetary and Earth's dayside magnetic fields is the basic process for magnetic storms. A second reconnection on the nightside pushes plasma towards Earth





Dungey, 1962

Dst Index

http://swdcwww.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/dst2/onDstindex.html

- A geomagnetic index describing variations in the equatorial ring current.
- Positive: Sudden compression of the magnetosphere (e.g. IP shock)
- Negative: Ring current enhancement causing a decrease in horizontal B



A CME (or any solar wind structure) is said to be Geoeffective if it results in Dst \leq -50 nT. Dst < -100 nT \rightarrow intense storms



Out of the Ecliptic B from CMEs

- Normal Parker-spiral field does not have a Bz component
- CMEs with flux rope structure (magnetic clouds) naturally produce the Bz component
- Magnetic field draping in the shock sheath can also cause Bz (Gosling & McComas, 1987; Tsurutani & Gonzalez, 1988)
- Corotating interaction regions
- Alfven waves

Out of the ecliptic B component due to the CME and draping



Gosling and McComas, 1987 GRL



Gosling and McComas1987; Tsurutani et al 1988

Gopalswamy et al., 2008 Sheath Superstorm Ν S

ENW (FN)

When MCs have high inclination the rotation is in the Y direction. In the Z-direction, the field will be always to the north or south. In this example, Bz is always north pointing so no storm. But there was a big storm due to the sheath consisting of intense south pointing Bz

Summary of MC Structure



Shock Sheath Cloud









20Ē

SE [m]

























Cloud & Sheath Storms



Most ICMEs are from Halo CMEs



Geomagnetic Storm and CME parameters



Dungey 1962; Akasofu, 1981; Tsurutani et al., 1990; Wu &Lepping, 2002; Yurchyshyn et al., 2004; Srivastava &Venkatakrishnan, 2004; Gopalswamy, 2008

Geoeffective CMEs



Fast and wide CMEs from mostly the disk center From the active region belt

Different Source Distributions

Major SEP

Major Storms





Direct Impact

Requirement on Solar Storms to become Magnetic Storms and/or Particle Storms

Magnetic	Particle
CME plasma has to reach Earth's magnetosphere (Earth-directed CMEs)	SEPs need to arrive at Earth (Western CMEs)
CME magnetic filed needs to have southward component	Magnetic structure unimportant
CMEs need not drive shocks	CMEs have to drive shocks
Fast CMEs (~1000 km/s)	Ultra-fast (~1500 km/s)

Major Events Over Solar Cycle



Big events have poor solar-cycle dependence



Significant CMEs

How fast can CMEs get?

Maximum area 5000 msh (Newton 1943) Maximum sunspot field 6100 G (Livingston et al., 2006) Potential field energy ~10³⁶ erg Free energy ~ potential energy

If all energy goes into a 1018 g CME, Vmax ~ 14,000 km/s (0.05c)

In reality many CMEs exhaust the free energy (each CME carries 5 -25%.

Using 25%, Vmax = 7000 km/s

Such CMEs would travel to earth in 11.6 hours



Summary

- CMEs constitute the most energetic phenomenon in the heliosphere (10²⁶ J)
- CMEs cause two primary space weather effects: SEPs and geomagnetic storms
- CMEs are produced in closed magnetic regions on the Sun known as active regions



Temperature increase in the source: Reconnection at the time of eruption

2007 July 5 IAGA ASIV034

N. Gopalswamy





Major SEP

Magnetic Clouds



Solar Cycle Variation



The special populations originate from the active region belt

CMEs of Cycle 23



CME population	<v> km/s</v>
All CMEs	471
metric II CMEs	610
MC CMEs	774
Geoeffective CMEs	1042
Halo CMEs	1052
mkm II CMEs	1500
SEP CMEs	1600
GLE CMEs	2000

CME speed < \sim 4000 km/s \rightarrow Limit to the Free energy available in active regions 11% of CMEs are wide (W ≥120°) ~1000
Fast and wide CMEs ~500
Halo CMEs ~500 (some are slower than 900 km/s MCs ~100; intense storms ~100; SEPs ~100



Summary

- Intense geomagnetic storms are caused by the out of the ecliptic component of the magnetic field in CMEs and/or their sheath.
- Large SEP events are due to fast and wide CMEs
- Shock-driving CMEs, ICME-associated CMEs, Type II producing CMEs, major storm producing CMEs are all energetic and have overlap and appear mostly as halo CMEs
- CMEs are the major players in Sun-Earth connection



Pre-Coronagraphic CME Signatures

- Slow drift burst due to mass motion from the Sun (Payne-Scott, Yabsley & Bolton, 1947)
- Classification of solar radio bursts: Type I,II, III burst by Wild & McCready (1950)
- Due to an outrush of matter from flare ... which, causes great magnetic storms and aurorae (Wild, 1955)
- Type II due to acoustic shocks at the front of flare plasma (Wild et al. 1954), Westfold, 1957
- Moving type IV bursts (Boishot, 1957)
- Type II due to hydromagnetic shocks Uchida (1960).
- Moreton waves (1964) identified with flare flash
- Theory based on flare blast (Uchida, 1968)

IP Shock history

- Type II Bursts discovered by Payne-Scott et al. (1947)
- Gold predicts IP shocks in 1955
- Type II bursts from shocks (Uchida, 1960)
- IP shocks by Mariner 2 in 1962 (Sonnet et al. 1964)
- ESP events from shocks (Rao et al., 1967)
- CME similar to Gold bottle (Koomen et al., 1974)
- SEPs from CME-driven shocks (Kahler et al., 1978)
- Shock sheath Magnetic cloud (Burlaga 1981)
- Type II bursts and CMEs (Robinson, 1985)
- CMEs and IP shocks (Sheeley et al., 1985)



IP Acceleration



Gosling et al., 2001; Lindsay et al., 1999 Gopalswamy et al., 2000;2001

Gopalswamy et al., 2001

Mass and Kinetic Energy



- The basic pre-eruption magnetic field is a magnetic arcade (closed bipole) in which the core field is strongly sheared (Moore et al., 1999)
- $B_{flr} = 1.4 \ (\theta_{CME}/\theta_{flr})^2$ [Moore et al. 2007]
HL and LL CME Rates and GCR Intensity

HL CMEs



CME rate high enough Min to max variation high enough Contribution from High-latitude CMEs GMIRs did not form during the Oct-Nov 03 storms (Richardson et al. 2005) See also Cliver et al. 2003 Gazis et al. 2006

